

Even without Catching COVID-19 Study Reveals Pandemic Life Made Brains Age Faster

A group of researchers tested whether the [coronavirus disease 2019v](#) (COVID-19) pandemic, with or without severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection, accelerated biological brain aging in middle-aged and older adults.

The study sought to distinguish the impact of [infection](#) itself from the effects of pandemic-related social disruption on brain aging.



Study

Investigators mined the United Kingdom Biobank (UKBB), a cohort with multimodal MRI. Separate GM and WM brain age models were trained on 15,334 [healthy adults](#) scanned before March 2020. From 1,865 imaging-derived phenotypes (IDPs) summarizing structure, diffusion, and T2 signals, principal component analysis (PCA) extracted 50 components. Elastic net regression accurately predicted chronological age, yielding a mean absolute error (MAE) of nearly three years; test-retest reliability was demonstrated by an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) of 0.98.

The models were applied to 996 volunteers, who underwent two [MRI sessions](#). Controls (n = 564) were scanned twice before the pandemic, whereas the pandemic cohort (n = 432) underwent one pre- and one post-restriction scan; 134 of them had laboratory-confirmed coronavirus disease 2019. Of the COVID-19 cases, only 5 out of 134 participants (<4%) required hospitalization, indicating that the cohort was almost exclusively comprised of mild cases.

Groups were balanced for demographics, body mass index, vascular risk, education, income, and deprivation score. Only inter-scan intervals ≥ 24 months were accepted to reduce [noisev](#). An adjustment for the inter-scan interval was incorporated to minimize confounding by time between scans.

The primary endpoint was the rate of change in brain age gap (RBAG), calculated as $RBAG = \Delta BAG / \Delta \text{time (months per year)}$. Permutation-based analysis of variance with false discovery rate (FDR) correction was used to test the effects of pandemic exposure, infection status, sex, chronological age, and [socioeconomic adversity](#). All MRI acquisitions used identical Siemens scanners and standardized protocols across four sites.

Findings

The predicted brain age at the first MRI session was statistically indistinguishable among the three cohorts, including [pre-pandemic](#) controls, pandemic participants without SARS-CoV-2, and pandemic participants with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19, confirming a balanced

baseline neuroanatomy. When the same volunteers returned a median of 2.7 years later, the brains of people who had lived through lockdowns appeared to be more advanced in age.

After adjusting for inter-scan interval, sex, [body mass index](#), and cardiovascular risk, their brains looked 5.5 months older than those of controls, yielding Cohen d values of 0.61 for GM and 0.70 for WM.

Importantly, SARS-CoV-2 infection itself did not account for the surge in cases. Pandemic volunteers who never caught the [virus](#) aged at the same pace as their infected peers, and both subgroups differed significantly from controls once FDR correction was applied.

Chronological age amplified vulnerability, as in controls, with each birthday adding about three brain age days. However, under pandemic conditions, the slope more than doubled to seven days in GM and eight days in WM; the number of infected individuals increased to nearly ten days per calendar year. As the vast majority of infections were mild, the study could not robustly assess whether [disease](#) severity (e.g., hospitalization or symptom duration) modulated RBAG.

Sex differences were evident, as under identical pandemic exposure, male brains aged 33% faster than female [brains](#) in GM; for WM, the difference was similar in pattern but did not reach statistical significance. The interaction for sex was significant for GM (FDR $p = 0.008$), but not for WM.

[Socioeconomic adversity](#) further widened the gap. Participants reporting low employment security, poor self-rated health, or low household income accumulated between 1.5 and 5.8 additional months of brain aging during follow-up relative to the most advantaged peers, and interaction terms between deprivation indices and pandemic status remained significant.

Cognitive change was selective rather than global. Standard memory and language scores stayed stable across groups, yet only volunteers who experienced COVID-19 displayed slower [Trail Making Test](#) (TMT) performance.

Completion times increased by 6–9% for numeric (TMT A) and alternating alphanumeric (TMT B) versions, and higher RBAG correlated with slower TMT A speed ($r = 0.23$, $p = 0.004$), indicating that infection-associated [neuroinflammation](#) may potentially convert silent structural vulnerability into measurable executive decline.

It should be noted, however, that the study demonstrates association rather than proven causation in this [mechanistic link](#).

BAG estimates remained orthogonal to chronological age; the test-retest ICC stayed 0.98; and MAE for age prediction never exceeded 3.1 years. Mixed effects models that simultaneously entered deprivation, sex, infection status, and [chronological age](#) still attributed a substantial proportion of explained RBAG variance to pandemic exposure (approximately 46%, as per supplementary data), underscoring the dominant role of social disruption.

Continued follow-up will reveal whether the observed structural shifts endure or reverse as normal [social activities](#) resume.

The study authors note that longer-term follow-up and additional data, including more direct measures of [psychological stress](#), are needed to clarify the persistence and mechanisms of these effects.

Conclusion

To summarize, the COVID-19 era accelerated biological brain aging by roughly half a year in under three calendar years, irrespective of [SARS-CoV-2 infection](#). Older age, male sex, and socioeconomic disadvantage heightened vulnerability, while measurable cognitive decline emerged only when infection layered neuroinflammatory stress atop socially driven tissue changes.

Importantly, these findings should be interpreted in the context of certain limitations, including the predominance of mild COVID-19 cases in the cohort and the lack of pandemic-period [mental health](#) data.

These results indicate that protecting brain health in future crises will require more than just infection control; strategies must also mitigate the effects of isolation, unemployment, and healthcare disruptions to prevent silent neural aging and, ultimately, [dementia](#). Community outreach and mental health care play a crucial role in reinforcing resilience.

Source:

<https://www.news-medical.net/news/20250723/Study-reveals-pandemic-life-made-brains-age-faster-even-without-catching-COVID-19.aspx>