

More than your Daily Total why Erratic Step Counts may Matter

A recent study published investigated whether dynamic complexity in daily step counts is associated with impending sudden changes, particularly losses, in [physical activity](#) among adults and assessed the potential of these early warning signals to inform adaptive intervention strategies.



Study

The current study evaluated real-world data to examine associations between DC and sudden changes in walking behavior, with a primary focus on [sudden losses](#) and a secondary focus on sudden gains. Data were drawn from the Kiplin dataset, collected in France between January 2019 and April 2023.

Of 247,059 Kiplin app registrants (2019–2023), individuals with fewer than 90 days of data, a median daily step count of more than 20,000, or more than 20% missing days were excluded to ensure reliability and remove extreme activity levels. After applying these criteria, 21,425 participants (8.7% of registrants) comprised the final [analytical sample](#). Days with fewer than 500 steps or more than 30,000 steps were also treated as missing observations.

Measures included daily step counts, self-reported age, sex, height, weight, and intervention exposure. Step counts were obtained via [smartphone](#) or wearable device APIs, such as Apple Health, Google Fit, Garmin, and Fitbit.

Findings

Among participants who reported their gender, 60% were women. The average participant age was 43 years. The average daily step count was 7,817 steps. [Missing data](#) were minimal, and the average step-count time series was 256 days.

Sudden changes in [step count](#), defined as a $\pm 30\%$ shift from a participant's median level lasting at least 7 days, were common. The researchers also tested 20%, 30%, and 40% shifts lasting at least 7, 14, or 28 days to assess the robustness of the findings. Specifically, 96% of participants had at least one sudden loss, and 94% experienced at least one sudden gain. On average, participants experienced about three sudden gains and three sudden losses during the study period. Sudden gains lasted a median of 20 days, while losses lasted longer, at 33 days. Notably,

sudden gains were usually followed by a loss, and vice versa, indicating a cyclical pattern in activity fluctuations.

DC was normalized for each participant, yielding a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1 for each participant. Across observations, the median DC was below zero, reflecting a right-skewed distribution. DC exceeded one standard deviation above an individual's mean on 14% of days and two [standard deviations](#) on 5% of days. Importantly, the highest DC value during the three days preceding a sudden loss was strongly associated with the likelihood of that loss occurring. This relationship was particularly strong for losses that were shorter in duration and larger in magnitude. As the duration of the loss increased, the association between DC and loss weakened, but remained statistically significant.

The association between DC and sudden gains in activity was weaker and less consistent than that between DC and sudden losses in activity. DC showed only a small positive association with brief gains; for [longer-lasting gains](#), the association was small or even negative. Over time, both sudden gains and losses became less common as the study progressed. However, the longer the time since the previous gain or loss of the same type, the more likely a new sudden change was to occur, consistent with recurring phases of activity change.

Exposure to the [Kiplin intervention](#) was associated with a reduced likelihood of sudden losses across the definitions tested, with stronger relationships for longer-lasting losses. It was also linked to an increased likelihood of sudden gains, particularly for events lasting 7 or 14 days. Findings for longer-lasting gains were less clear, suggesting that its relationship with gains may be most pronounced for shorter-term fluctuations.

The association between DC and sudden losses was strongest among less active participants, suggesting that DC may be particularly relevant as an early warning signal for people with lower baseline activity. In contrast, gender, age, BMI, and device type showed less evidence of moderating these associations, suggesting that the observed effects were generally consistent across demographic and [technical subgroups](#).

Conclusion

The current study provided evidence that critical fluctuations in daily step count may serve as early warning signals of sudden declines in [walking behavior](#). At the population level, this association provides a basis for further developing and testing timely intervention strategies designed to prevent abrupt declines in physical activity.

Despite these promising results, several limitations should be acknowledged. The use of a regression-tree method to detect sudden changes, the absence of direct wear-time data, potential biases arising from [missing-data mechanisms](#), and limited generalizability due to the study's inclusion criteria may have influenced the outcomes.

The models established predictive associations at the group level but did not test prospective individualized [risk prediction](#), sensitivity, or specificity. In addition, the researchers could not formally assess selection bias because data were unavailable for registrants excluded from the analytical sample. Although associations between early-warning signals and sudden losses have been established, the underlying mechanisms remain unclear.

Further research is needed to improve individualized [risk prediction](#) and test whether EWS-triggered interventions can effectively prevent sudden declines.

Source:

<https://www.news-medical.net/news/20260826/Why-erratic-step-counts-may-matter-more-than-your-daily-total.aspx>